Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/08/02 : CIA-RDP85M00363R001303050001-3

BREAKFAST MEETING WITH DEPUTY SECRETARY OF STATE

24 June 1983, 0730

Secretary's Dining Room

economilore a fal

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/08/02 : CIA-RDP85M00363R001303050001-3

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/08/02 : CIA-RDP85M00363R001303050001-3

The DCI used the NSPG book on Middle East, 21 June 83, for this meeting with Ken Dam. It has been returned to it's NSPG folder.

23 JUN 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: NSPG Meeting of 21 June 1983

- 1. The NSPG met on Lebanon from 0945-1045 hours on 21 June 1983. Per the agenda (attached), Judge Clark led off with a few introductory remarks, saying in essence that the meeting was called to discuss a) the Israeli request (relayed by David Kimche) that a partial withdrawal be considered, and b) how to deal with Syrian intransigence on the withdrawal issue. With regard to the former, a central consideration is how the Multi National Force (MNF) should be moved into the void created by the Israeli withdrawal. The DCI then presented an intelligence assessment, a digest of which is attached.
- 2. Secretary Shultz then spoke, saying that he wanted first to establish what our strategy should be and then go into tactical considerations such as deployment of the Multi-National Force (MNF) into the area from which the Israelis withdraw. Broadly speaking, said the Secretary, there are three objectives to which the President has adhered since the start of the Lebanese War in June 1982:
 - a. There should be a total withdrawal of all foreign armed forces from Lebanon.
 - b. Lebanon's sovereignty and independence should be restored.
 - c. Israel's northern border should be made secure.
- 3. The Secretary saw two broad courses of action for the U.S. to pursue at this juncture. The first would be to continue as we are doing, that is, seeking to drum up and/or maintain support for the withdrawal agreement. There are indications that the Saudis are willing to put up five to six billion dollars to persuade the Syrians to come along. could let the situation play itself along until the Jumayyil and Begin visits in late July and see what develops. problem with this course is that the Israelis with their virtual open announcement that they are going for partial withdrawal have cut ground out from under us. The Syrians can now say to the other Arabs that their strategy is working: that the Israelis will eventually pull out of Lebanon anyway -- without there having to be a withdrawal agreement. Further, the U.S. is finding it hard to sustain the argument that an Israeli withdrawal will bring about a Syrian

SECRET

SECRET

withdrawal. The Israelis for their part have been very restrained in reacting to Syrian provocations, and it is hard to imagine this will continue for another five weeks until the Begin visit. A surgical strike into the Bekaa is an obvious option. In short, there are some real risks to a "rocking along" policy.

- The second course would be for the U.S. to reengage itself in Lebanon, focussing on the withdrawal issue. objective would be to obtain a "date certain" by which time Israel, Syria and the PLO would all have completed withdrawal. The Saudis would have to be approached, to see if they are willing to put up their chips. The U.S. would have to "cement in" their total involvement and participation. The Syrians would be told that their withdrawal should not be considered as locked to an Israeli withdrawal agreement. In a sense, it could be portrayed as a victory for Syria. The Israelis would be told that we are not proposing a renegotiation of the agreement. However, because of developments, the side letter (copy attached) will not stand up and must be altered. We could not expect them to change one condition, that is, the return of prisoners/bodies. In sum, the agreement would be put into effect in reverse: the security arrangements would go into effect with the start of the withdrawal, but only after withdrawal is completed would the ratification instruments be exchanged and the normalization measures go into effect. Saudis, the Secretary noted, say that the Syrians regard the latter point as particularly important.
- 5. The second course, the Secretary noted, is consistent with the President's three objectives (para 2 above). If this strategy is agreed upon, the following tactical moves should take place. We should go to the Saudis first. A leak would be ruinous, but the Saudis can keep secrets, and the approach should be to Fahd alone. The next step would be to go to Begin. The person chosen to do that would spend as much time as necessary for the Israelis to become comfortable with the concept.
- 6. The Secretary concluded his presentation by saying he favored the second course. The impending Israeli withdrawal, instead of being a step on the way to a dig-in line would be a step on the way to a full withdrawal. The MNF, which was originally deployed into a friendly area, now faces a different prospect: in effect the MNF is being asked to take casualties in the place of the IDF. It is necessary for the "concept" of MNF deployment be changed, so that the MNF, in moving into the vacated areas, (i.e. the Shuf and Alayh) moves into a better environment than exists now.

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/08/02 : CIA-RDP85M00363R001303050001-3 SECRET

- 7. General Vessey then spoke, stating that he would add three more broad objectives to those cited by the Secretary:
 - a. Train and equip the Lebanese Armed Forces (LAF).
- b. Create conditions for furthering the President's 1 September 1982 peace plan.
 - c. Avoid a superpower confrontation in the area.
- 8. General Vessey then gave a review of the progress made by the U.S. to date with the LAF. A total of 170 armored vehicles have been provided with another 250 to come. I addition, there have been 800 trucks, 1400 radios and a quantity of weapons. Eight MTT's have been operating in Lebanon and 11 of 18 LAF battalions have completed their battalion training programs. Four new battalions have been created and one of these will be trained by the end of July. Of the four LAF brigades, two are ready for deployment. In short, the LAF is in a better readiness posture than the Lebanese Government gives it credit for. General Vessey said he strongly favors the Secretary's proposal. Here the Secretay interjected that it will be necessary to try to strike a deal between the Phalange and the Druze in order to ease the MNF-LAF deployment into the Shuf-Alayh area.
- 9. The President noted that the USSR believes that as a superpower it should be involved in any negotiated settlement in Lebanon. Given this goal, he wondered to what extent the USSR would seek to put a monkey wrench into U.S. efforts. The President added he remained stubbornly against letting the Soviets have any negotiating role.

1

- 10. The Secretary said that the essence of the problem is whether we can put together a package which is attractive enough to Asad. If this were the case, the Soviets would probably not be able to block an agreement, as Asad is a rather independent individual. A companion problem is whether the Israelis would buy such a package.
 - ll. Ambassador Kirpatrick spoke of the UNIFIL situation, noting that one more extension is probably the most that can be hoped for. The Soviets will seek to pare this extension down from three months. In short, UNIFIL may not be around any more after early fall.

SECRET

- 12. Concluding the meeting, Judge Clark said that there seemed to be a consensus of the group in favor of the Secretary's proposal. Defense and the Joint Chiefs were in support. A specific plan of approach should now be drawn up. The Secretary said he would provide a plan before his departure. One possibility would be for Philip Habib to contact the parties (other than Syria). Another possibility would be for Kenneth Dam to lead a team to the Middle East. The latter option would be difficult, however, with the Secretary also out of the country between 17 June and 6 July.
- 13. The President inquired about the possibility of an armed attack being mounted against the MNF and whether the U.S. is positioned to counter such an attack. General Vessey said there is a carrier never more than 48 hours away plus gunfire support ships close by. The problem with use of the latter is that innocent civilians could be hit. Ambassador Habib observed that a military attack against the MNF could not be put together without our having sufficient advance warning to counter it. The principal danger would be from small—scale bit and run attacks.

| Small-S | care nic a | ng run acce | | 25X1 |
|---------|------------|-------------|---|------|
| | | | Chief, Near East and South Asia Division | |
| Attachi | nent | | | |
| ORIG: | | | • | 25X1 |

ORIG:

DIST:
1-DDO Reg
1-ADDO/DDO
1-EX Reg
1-DDCI
1-DCI
1-DCI
1-D/NESA
1-NIO/NESA (Fuller)
1-CNE (Fuller)
25X1

SECRET

1-CNE

National Security Planning Group Meeting

Tuesday, June 21, 1983

MIDDLE EAST .

Agenda

- I. Introduction (5 minutes) William P. Clark
- II. Intelligence Assessment (15 min.) William J. Casey
 - A. Israeli domestic political scene
 - B. Lebanese scene

 - 2) The Druze and Phalange
 - 3) Shia unrest in the South
 - 4) Factional fighting in the North
 - C. Palestinian reaction to Israeli withdrawal
 - D. The Syrian perspective
 - E. Soviet objectives following the Lebanon-Israeli agreement
- III. Policy Options (20 min.) George P. Shultz
 - A. Responding to Israeli-Lebanese proposals for partial withdrawal
 - B. Strategy for Syria
 - IV. Options for future mission and deployments of the MNF (15 min.) Caspar Weinberger
 - V. Summary The President

Intelligence Briefing for NSC Meeting on Middle East 21 June 1983

Israel

- Domestic pressure for partial withdrawal Israeli casualties up over 500 almost at Vietnam level on proportion basis Begin down in polls somewhat. Labor calling for phased but complete unilateral withdrawal. Unlikely Begin would withdraw unilaterally prior to visit here. Partial withdrawal not likely to significantly reduce casualties most from Shias in south.
- Partial withdrawal would be critical test of Gemayel's ability to control Lebanon likely to review factional fighting among Druze and Christians Lebanon doesn't want partial withdrawal without assurances that it will lead to full withdrawal and that area vacated can be policed by Lebanese army and multinational force.

PLO

- Israeli withdrawal would encourage PLO - claim credit for guerrilla activity and expand presence in north and increase attacks on Israeli-PLO and Syrians increase aid to Druze and infiltration thru Shrif (sp?) into Israeli security zone.

Syria

- Syria will see Israeli withdrawal as triumph for their hard line perception in Arab world that Israelis have pulled back and Assad will be portrayed as in the ascendency.
- We see Assad as firmly against Lebanon-Israel agreement. Encouraged by support of Soviets found him comfortable with his current position.

25X1

25X1 ·

- Seems to be some distance between position of President Assad and his brother Rifat, head of defense companies elite military formation within the Syrian military.
- Rifat claims he does not like degree of Soviet involvement not against withdrawal agreement between Syria and Lebanon believes that Lebanese people are not against withdrawal agreement, which is contrary to official Syrian view.

Soviets

- Will go all out to frustrate any US-sponsored agreement for Middle East peace - maintain military support to Syria even in face of attack by Israelis - will try to avert hostilities while keeping tensions high - attempting to bring radical forces in area together - supporting unity within PLO, therefore Arafat, and trying to bring about rapprochement between Syria and Iraq - and are working for better Syrian-Jordanian relations - but there are limits to what they can accomplish in this. We see the Soviets as more determined than ever to get piece of action in Middle East peace process.

| , . | - | CEUDET | |
|--------------------|------------|--|----------|
| Sanitized Copy Apr | proved for | Release 2011/08/02: CIA-RDP85M00363R001303050001-3 | \ |
| Camera Copy App | 31010a 101 | Troidude 2011/00/02 : Oil (Troil College Colle | ' |
| | | • | |

| <u>In Sum</u> : |
|---|
| - Israelis unlikely to withdraw before Begin visit. |
| - This provides another month to assess Syrian intentions |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

25X1 25X1 25X1